

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,884	04/13/2004	Yung Yip	10305US02	4010	
	7590 09/14/2007 Attention: Eric D. Levinson		EXAMINER		
Imation Corp.				NGUYEN, TANH Q	
Legal Affairs P.O. Box 64898	3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St. Paul, MN 55	5164-0898		2182		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/822,884	YIP ET AL.	•
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tanh Q. Nguyen	2182	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the claim rejections under 35 USC 112 and Double Patenting. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s).	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/822,884

Art Unit: 2182

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because

applicant's arguments with respect to the 103 rejections are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that it would not have been obvious to form the cartridge shell

Page 2

of Albretch's data storage cartridge of a static dissipative material because Albretch

teaches a backing plate 70 of the data storage cartridge already providing an

electrostatic discharge path for the data storage cartridge and because Albretch

teaches the backing plate of the data storage cartridge being formed of Stat-Kon.

The arguments are not persuasive because Albretch does not positively indicate

that forming the cartridge housing of static dissipative material is prohibited and

because Waggoner and STAT suggest using static dissipative material for housing and

for electronic equipment and components protection. Albretch, therefore, does not

preclude the use of dissipative material for housing (as is suggested by Waggoner and

STAT) as additional protection, or instead of the protection provided by the backing

plate.

TANH Q NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210

**TQN** 

September 12, 2007